PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

То	:			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)					
	see form	PCT/ISA/220							
	licant's or agent's file e form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
	rnational application T/GB2004/00408		International filing date (d 27.09.2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 26.09.2003					
	rnational Patent Clas 4Q1/14	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC					
	licant LLERMANNTYT	ON DATA LIM	ITED						
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:									
	Box No. II	- Land of the opinion							
	Box No. III								
	Box No. IV	were the step and industrial applicability							
	☐ Box No. V	Reasoned state		.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement				
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app						
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application							
2.	FURTHER ACTI								
	the applicant cho	will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the rnational Searching Authority							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the prior whichever expires later.									
		ns, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.									

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Willems, B

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1026

10/573459 W9R34FHPTO 24 MAR 2006

WRISTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004084

_	-							
	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. format of material:							
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. time of filing/furnishing:							
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.						
4.	. Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004084

	Во	x No. II	Priority						
1.	1. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:								
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier a	pplicatio	n whose p	riority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).			
			translation of the ea	ırlier app	lication wh	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
4.	Additional observations, if necessary:								
		No. V ustrial a	Reasoned statem pplicability; citatio	ent und	er Rule 43 explanatio	Sbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement			
1.		tement		-					
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3,4,7-26 1,2,5,6			
	Inve	antiva et	en (IS)	Voo	Claims				
	Inventive st		ep (io)	No:	Claims	1-26			
	Indu	ıstrial ap	pplicability (IA)		Claims	1-16			
				No:	Claims				
2.	Cita	tions an	d explanations						

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

- D1: US-B-6 330 3071 (BLOCH BRIAN MATTHEW ET AL) 11 December 2001 (2001-12-11)
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.1 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
 - A structured cabling system comprising at least two patch panels (D1:col. 2 line 12-21) each having a plurality of jacks, an indicator means associated with each jack, each said indicator means being operable by an applied signal to provide a signal which identifies the jack associated with that indicator means, and sensor means associated with each jack to provide an indication of the presence or absence of a plug connected to the jack (D1: col. 3 lines 20-38). Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.
- 1.2 Dependent claims 2, 5 and 6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, since all the features are already disclosed in (D1: col. 4 lines 36 61; col. 5 lines 22-31)
- The independent claims 12 and 26 do not meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.
 - The independent claim 12 differs from the corresponding independent claim 1 in that the indicator means actuate in sequence pairs of jacks. This feature is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem associated with tracing a plurality of patch cords. Hence the independent claim 12 lacks inventive step.

PCT/GB2004/004084

The independent claim 26 differs form the corresponding independent claim 1 in that the jack has two partial shielding cans which are electrically isolated from each other, said cans, in use, being engaged by at least one contact formed on a plug which mates with said body in order to effect the electrical connection between said cans. Document D1 discloses a system allowing the use of any kind of sensors (D1 col. 4 lines 38-51), the present jack is a small constructional detail which allows another type of sensor to be used and which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 26 also lacks an inventive step.

3 Dependent claims 3, 4, 7-12 and 13-25 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.

The sensor from claim 3 and the jack of claim 4 are slight constructional changes in the system of claim 2 which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 3 and 4 also lacks an inventive step.

The continuity checking of the jacks as in claim 7 and the sequential checking of the connections as in claim 8 which identifies the pairs of jacks as in claim 9 and indicates this in an alternating way between the first and second patch panel as in claim 10 and using a timer to go to the next connection to be checked in the sequence as in claim 11 are all design options which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by the persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of said claims lacks an inventive step.

The features of claims 13-16 are already disclosed in D1. (D1: col. 3 lines 20-38; col. 4 line 5 - col. 5 line 35)

The features of claims 17-25 are slight constructional changes in the system of claim 12 which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 17-25 also lacks an inventive step.